IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

FRIDAY, THE 30TH MARCH 2007 / 9TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 11017 of 2007(J)

PETITIONER:

V.BAHULEYAN, SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME RECORDS BUREAU, JAGATHI, D.P.I.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM CITY.

BY ADV. SRI.PIRAPPANCODE V.S.SUDHIR

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
POLICE HEADQUARTERS, KERALA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. DEPARTMENTAL PROMOTION COMMITTEE,
POLICE DEPARTMENT, REPRESENTED BY ITS CONVENER,
AND DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER MR. BIJOY CHANDRAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

WP(C)No. 11017 OF 2007

Dated this the 30th March, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is working as Sub Inspector of Police in the District Crime Records Bureau, Thiruvananthapuram. He is due to retire from service by the end of May 2007. The petitioner's grievance as seen from the pleadings in this writ petition is identical to the grievance highlighted by petitioners in W.P(C) 333/2007 which was disposed of by Ext.P2 judgment. As per that judgment, this Court directed the respondents to see that the final seniority list is published within two months, the meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee is held immediately thereafter, at any rate, within six weeks from the date of publication of the final list, statutory rules governing selection are followed and persons found entitled for promotion based on the select list are promoted. It was also directed that in case the preparation of the select list is delayed, the competent among the respondents shall take appropriate decision after considering the necessity to issue orders promoting Sub Inspectors of Police temporarily as Circle Inspectors of Police.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the request of the petitioner to extend him also the benefit of Ext.P2

judgment shall have to be considered by the respondents.

3. I have heard Govt. Pleader for the respondents.

4. Having regard to the limited relief prayed for by the petitioner, I am inclined to dispose of the writ petition directing the respondents to extend to the petitioner also the benefit of Ext.P2 judgment, provided he is similarly situated and comes within the field of choice for transfer appointment to the post of Circle Inspector of Police. Ordered accordingly.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the respondents for necessary action.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj